

# An individual tooth wear index and an analysis of factors correlated to incisal and occlusal wear in an adult Swedish population

Anders Ekfeldt, Anders Hugoson, Tom Bergendal and Martti Helkimo

Department of Prosthetic Dentistry, Faculty of Odontology, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, and Departments of Periodontology, Prosthodontics, and Stomatognathic Physiology, The Institute for Postgraduate Dental Education, Jönköping, Sweden

Ekfeldt A, Hugoson A, Bergendal T, Helkimo M. An individual tooth wear index and an analysis of factors correlated to incisal and occlusal wear in an adult Swedish population. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1990;48:343-349. Oslo. ISSN 0001-6357.

The aim of the study was to introduce an individual tooth wear index and to use this index to investigate factors correlated to occlusal wear. The material consisted of 585 randomly selected dentate individuals from the community of Jönköping, Sweden, who in 1983 reached the age of 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, or 80 years. The degree of incisal and occlusal wear was evaluated for each single tooth in accordance with criteria presented earlier. An individual tooth wear index, which made it possible to rank individuals in accordance with incisal and occlusal wear, was used as dependent variable to investigate factors related to incisal and occlusal wear. Of all factors analyzed, the following were found to correlate significantly with increased incisal and occlusal wear: number of existing teeth, age, sex, occurrence of bruxism, use of snuff, and saliva buffer capacity. Stepwise multiple regression analysis gave a total explanation factor of  $R^2 = 0.41$ . It was also possible to distinguish well between groups of individuals with and without tooth wear by means of these factors. □ *Attrition; bruxism; epidemiology; saliva; use of snuff*

Anders Ekfeldt, Department of Prosthetic Dentistry, Faculty of Odontology, Gothenburg University, Box 33070, S-400 33 Göteborg, Sweden

Many factors have been associated with incisal or occlusal breakdown (1)—for example, the effect of parafunction (2-4), the composition of saliva (2, 5), and the industrial environment (6-9). Some investigators have analyzed tooth wear in selected groups, such as miners or workers in ship-building yards (10, 11). Others have studied tooth wear in association with specific diseases such as anorexia nervosa (12) or dietary variables (13, 14). The influence of some morphologic relationships of the jaw has also been discussed (15, 16), as has the correlation of tooth wear to occlusal factors and temporomandibular joint (TMJ) symptoms (17, 18). The multifactorial character of dental wear makes it difficult to state the etiologic factors responsible for the wear in any individual case. However, some guidelines for dental treatment of patients with incisal and occlusal wear have been suggested (18, 19).

In an earlier study Hugoson et al. (20) showed that the number and distribution of teeth with extensive incisal or occlusal wear increased with age. There was also an increase in severity of wear with increasing age. Comparable results have been found in other studies (21, 22).

Many indices have been presented in the literature (2, 3, 20, 21, 23, 24), all representing systems for classification of tooth wear. All are based on a qualitative evaluation of the wear process, and most are combined with an estimation of exposed dentin surface or reduction of tooth length. Methods for the evaluation of wear of restorative materials have also been presented (25, 26). So far, however, an index has not been published that can be used in epidemiologic studies scoring both wear of natural teeth and restorative materials. There is also a need for an individual tooth wear index scoring the total individual incisal and occlusal wear.

The aim of this study was to introduce an individual tooth wear index with the potential to rank persons with regard to incisal and occlusal wear and to use this index to study factors related to such wear in an adult Swedish population.

## Materials and methods

This investigation is part of a larger epidemiologic dental study of individuals from the community of Jönköping, Sweden (20, 27, 28), based on data from 585 dentate individuals, who in 1983 reached the age of 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70 or 80 years. The number of subjects examined and the age and sex distribution are presented in Table 1. For further information on selection procedures and number of non-respondents, please see Hugoson et al. (27).

The individuals were examined clinically and radiographically with regard to the health of teeth and jaws and the presence of prosthetic restorations. The clinical examinations were carried out under optimal conditions by seven dentists at the Institute for Postgraduate Dental Education in Jönköping. Before the registration procedures, all examiners were calibrated (28). A questionnaire about medical and dental health was used.

### Diagnostic criteria

The extent of incisal or occlusal wear was evaluated for each single tooth in accordance with the following criteria: score 0 = no wear

or negligible wear of enamel; score 1 = obvious wear of enamel or wear through the enamel to the dentin in single spots; score 2 = wear of the dentin up to one-third of the crown height; score 3 = wear of the dentin up to more than one-third of the crown height; excessive wear of tooth restorative material or dental materials in crown and bridgework, more than one-third of the crown height.

### Analysis of observation errors

To check the examiners' ability to interpret the scoring of incisal and occlusal wear, interindividual comparisons were carried out. For details, please see Hugoson et al. (27).

### Introduction and evaluation of an individual tooth wear index

An individual incisal and occlusal tooth wear index ( $I_A$ ) was created, on the basis of the scores of incisal or occlusal wear for each tooth of the individual.

$$I_A = \frac{10 \times G_1 + 30 \times G_2 + 100 \times G_3}{G_0 + G_1 + G_2 + G_3}$$

The index is the ratio between the weighted sum of all teeth with some degree of wear and the total number of existing teeth for that individual. The purpose was to obtain one single value for the degree of incisal and occlusal tooth wear.

$G_0$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , and  $G_3$  = the number of teeth with score 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The constants 10, 30, and 100 were chosen to reflect the differences in incisal and occlusal breakdown between teeth with scores 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

To verify the clinical validity of  $I_A$ , a separate methodologic study was performed in 16 individuals (10 men and 6 women) aged 20 to 65 years. The persons selected were patients known to have extensive occlusal wear, and they were staff members. None of the subjects had extensive prosthetic restorations. After calibration, each person was examined independently by three senior staff

members. incisal or the criterion individual

From stone cast each of the ranked teeth by increase in tooth wear of the rat

To estimate ranking in consensus statistical method relation (C

### Statistical analysis of incisal and occlusal wear

By means of variables occlusal wear dentate persons the basis of the literature preliminary etiologic was reduced

Table 1. Number of individuals examined in relation to age and sex

Age group (years)	No. of individuals		
	Women	Men	Total
20	55	45	100
30	48	50	98
40	52	47	99
50	57	42	99
60	42	42	84
70	32	38	70
80	20	15	35
Total	306	279	585

members. Each single tooth was scored for incisal or occlusal wear in accordance with the criteria presented, and the  $I_A$  for each individual was calculated.

From intraoral color photographs and stone casts of the dentition of each person, each of the three examiners independently ranked the dentition of the 16 individuals by increasing severity of incisal and occlusal tooth wear. Finally, a consensus evaluation of the rankings was made.

To estimate the relationship between the ranking in accordance with the  $I_A$  and the consensus evaluation, a non-parametric statistical method, the Spearman rank correlation (29), was used.

# *Statistical analysis of factors related to incisal and occlusal wear*

By means of the individual index ( $I_A$ ), variables of importance for incisal and occlusal wear were analyzed among the 585 dentate persons taking part in the study. On the basis of clinical experience, a review of the literature (1, 2, 11–13, 21) and a preliminary analysis, the number of possible etiologic variables among those registered was reduced to those presented in Table 2.

Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS statistics package in an IBM computer. To study variables influencing incisal and occlusal tooth wear, a multiple stepwise regression analysis (30) was used. The individual tooth wear index ( $I_A$ ) was used as dependent variable. Before analysis, the  $I_A$  was transformed to its logarithm. This was done to obtain a more normal distribution of the residuals. Owing to this technical procedure, individuals with no incisal or occlusal tooth wear, a total of 133 individuals, were excluded from the statistical analysis. In addition, 42 individuals were excluded because of incomplete data for one or more of the independent variables. Thus 410 persons were included in the regression analysis. The results of the analysis are to be interpreted only for the subpopulation with positive  $I_A > 0$  (group C).

To evaluate factors of importance in distinguishing individuals with no tooth wear from individuals with incisal or occlusal wear, a discriminant analysis (31) was performed. The analysis combined the values of several variables, to form the discriminant function, which in turn served as basis for the classification. The first group (group A) consisted of individuals with  $I_A = 0$  ( $n =$

Table 2. Etiologic variables used in the further statistical analyses

Variables	Scale
Age	20–80 years
Sex	Female = 1; male = 2
No. of principal meals	$n$
No. of meals in between	$n$
Bruxism	Yes = 1; no = 2
Dry mouth	Never–always (1–4)
Salivary secretion	ml/min
Salivary buffer capacity	pH
Smoker	No. of cigarettes/day
Snuffer	Yes = 1; no = 2
Early trauma to the jaw	Yes = 1; no = 2
No. of occluding teeth	24–32 = 1; 16–23 = 2; 2–15 = 3;
No. of occlusal restorations	$n$
No. of teeth	1–28
No. of principal meals containing juice, syrup, or apple	$n$
No. of meals in between containing juice, syrup, or apple	$n$

Table 3. Variables ordered by size of correlation ( $p < 0.05$ ) in a stepwise discriminant analysis between two groups of persons: one group with no incisal and occlusal wear (group A) and one group with incisal and occlusal wear of scores 2 and 3 (group B)

Variable	Func. 1	Means	
		Group A	Group B
Sex	1.569	1.3	1.7
Age	0.059	41.9	55.5
Bruxism	-1.158	1.9	1.7
No. of teeth	0.085	22.3	19.5
No. of occlusal restorations	-0.067	12.0	10.8

N: group A = 133 subjects; group B = 87 subjects.  
 Func. 1 = correlation with the discriminant function.  
 Percentage of persons correctly classified to group A or B = 79.7%.

133), and the second group (group B) of individuals with one or more teeth with tooth wear scores of 2 or 3 ( $n = 87$ ) (Table 3).

## Results

Results of ranking by severity of incisal and occlusal wear determined from the indi-

Table 4. Individual ranking of incisal or occlusal tooth wear, from slight to severe, in accordance with the individual tooth wear index and the consensus evaluation

Order of ranking	Ranking by the individual tooth wear index $I_A$		Ranking by the consensus evaluation, Subject no.
	Subject no.	$I_A$	
1	11	2.9	11
2	4	3.9	14
3	14	4.3	10
4	5	5.0	4
5	1	5.2	1
6	8	5.4	12
7	10	6.4	6
8	2	7.0	16
9	12	7.8	8
10	16	7.8	2
11	6	8.9	5
12	3	12.1	3
13	15	15.2	15
14	13	26.1	9
15	9	36.4	13
16	7	77.7	7

vidual tooth wear index ( $I_A$ ) and the consensus evaluation are given in Table 4. The correlation, using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient, between the two measurements was 0.83, with 95% confidence limits of 0.57–0.94. Table 5 presents the results of the stepwise multiple linear regression analysis. Variables are ordered by magnitude of correlation ( $p < 0.05$ ), with the individual tooth wear index as the dependent variable. The regression model produced a total factor of explanation ( $R^2$ ) of 0.41. The most prominent variable was the number of existing teeth, which explained 29% of the variance. Persons with a reduced number of teeth showed a high  $I_A$ . Male sex, occurrence of bruxism, and increasing age also gave a high value of  $I_A$ . The use of snuff and the saliva buffer capacity also seemed to be of importance for increased incisal and occlusal wear and explained an additional 2% of the variance.

The variables included in the discriminant function showing a significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) high discriminating power between the two groups are given in Table 3. Sex, age, bruxism, number of teeth, and number of restored occlusal surfaces were variables discriminating between the two groups with and without incisal and occlusal wear. The tooth wear group (group B) showed higher mean values for age but lower mean values for number of teeth and number of occlusal restorations. Male sex and the occurrence of bruxism were variables more frequent in this group—70% males and 30% tooth grinders, respectively. The corresponding values for group A were 30% and 10%, respectively. According to the variables mentioned, 80% of the 220 individuals (groups A + B) were correctly classified.

## Discussion

In epidemiologic studies with many subjects examined by several examiners, there is always some interexaminer variability. To make the classification simpler, a tooth wear index with only four degrees of wear was used. The examiners were carefully calibrated with each other before the study. An

analysis and show

The in wear of has the l sal and o of degree bility of wear in torations

The a to study wear in of view introduc with the regard to wear in weighted of tooth ing teeth will give tooth we the cons strength teeth wi order to of the I and the wear, th 3 was c arithme and 2.

We w paring v

Table 5. Variables ordered by size of correlation ( $p < 0.05$ ) in a stepwise multiple linear regression analysis with the individual tooth wear index ( $I_A$ ) as dependent variable. No. of subjects, 410

Variable	Beta	Higher index for	Change in $R^2$	Significance
No. of teeth	-0.059	Lower no. of teeth	0.292	$p < 0.001$
Sex	0.406	Males	0.037	$p < 0.001$
Bruxism	-0.473	Yes	0.033	$p < 0.001$
Age	0.014	Increased age	0.026	$p < 0.001$
Snuffer	-0.487	Yes	0.012	$p < 0.01$
Buffer capacity	-0.082	Lower buffer capacity	0.008	$p < 0.05$
Total			0.408	

Beta = standardized regression coefficient;  $R^2$  = factor of explanation.

analysis of the observation error was made and showed good examiner agreement (20).

The index used describes well excessive wear of dentin and restorative material but has the limitation of not discriminating incisal and occlusal wear of restorative materials of degrees 0, 1, and 2. This limits the possibility of ranking slight to moderate tooth wear in individuals with occlusal restorations.

The aim of the present investigation was to study factors related to incisal and occlusal wear in adults from an epidemiologic point of view. To do that, it was necessary to introduce an individual tooth wear index with the potential to rank persons with regard to occlusal wear. The individual tooth wear index states the ratio between the weighted sum of all teeth with some degree of tooth wear and the total number of existing teeth for that individual. This relation will give a comparative measurement of the tooth wear in that individual. The values of the constants  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , and  $G_3$  were chosen to strengthen the difference in wear between teeth with scores 1, 2, and 3, respectively, in order to increase the discriminating power of the  $I_A$  index. To emphasize the clinical and therapeutic importance of advanced wear, the difference between score 2 and 3 was deliberately made greater than the arithmetic difference between scores 0, 1, and 2.

We wanted to assess index validity by comparing what might be called administrative

decisions based on index scores with those resulting from the subjective judgement of clinicians. Thus, the index scores and clinical judgements of severity of the occlusal wear could be independently obtained for a sample of individuals (16 casts). The objective of the test was to rank the individuals in order of priority for treatment. An attempt was thus made to substitute an index score for the clinical judgement of severity of the occlusal breakdown.

There was good correlation between the ranking of subjects by the  $I_A$  and the consensus evaluation of tooth wear for the same person. The  $I_A$  therefore provides a valid measure of individual tooth wear and was considered useful as the dependent variable in evaluating factors correlated to occlusal tooth wear.

Originally, many possible etiologic variables for tooth wear from the questionnaire and the clinical examination were selected for evaluation. On the basis of clinical experience, review of the literature, and a preliminary analysis, however, the variables were reduced to 16. This was done to reduce the problem of mass significance—that is, the risk of false significance.

The advantage of using a stepwise multiple regression analysis as compared with more conventional statistical methods is the possibility of elucidating the co-variation of the variables. This is of importance when examining a phenomenon of multifactorial character. Otherwise, in an analysis of different

variables separated from each other, severe misinterpretations of the importance of each factor can be made owing to co-variation. Examples of such co-variation are the number of teeth and age (28, 32) and the use of snuff, saliva buffer capacity, and sex (33, 34). However, no variables should be excluded from the analysis, since this might cause an overestimation of the importance of another.

The use of a logarithmic function excluded all subjects with an  $I_A = 0$  from the regression analysis, which is why the results only present variables related to increased incisal and occlusal wear. In contrast to the regression analysis, the stepwise discriminating analysis was used to study variables distinguishing between groups of individuals without tooth wear and groups of individuals with tooth wear scores of 2 and 3. The  $I_A$  score was thus not used in this analysis. In spite of this, the same variables were found to correlate with incisal and occlusal wear or to discriminate between groups of individuals with and without tooth wear, which strengthens the importance of these variables as etiologic factors for incisal and occlusal wear.

The regression analysis gave a high explanation factor ( $R^2 = 0.41$ ), considering that this was biologic material. From a statistical point of view the most prominent variable for increased tooth wear was the number of teeth ( $R^2 = 0.29$ ). A reduced number of teeth has earlier been related to occlusal wear (4, 11). An explanation for this might be that the influence of normal masticatory function on tooth wear will be increased when the number of teeth is reduced. Age and sex have also been described in the literature as important factors for incisal and occlusal wear (20–22), with tooth wear increasing with age and males showing more wear than females. A high prevalence of bruxism in individuals with occlusal wear has also been found in other studies (1, 2, 10). On the other hand, Seligman et al. (17) found no such correlation in their investigation of 222 individuals aged 19–40 years. The role of saliva for occlusal wear has only partly been investigated in humans (2, 5, 35). In one investigation the buffer capacity but not the saliva secretion was found to be

of significant importance for extended tooth wear (2). Excessive tooth wear as an effect of snuff or smokeless tobacco has earlier been discussed (36). The snuff tobacco contains a certain amount of silica compound (37), which may have an abrasive effect on the teeth.

The discriminant analysis gave five significant variables that separated a group of individuals with no tooth wear from a group of individuals with occlusal wear of scores 2 or 3. The variables provided good discrimination between the groups, as 80% of the subjects could be correctly grouped with the use of these variables.

The obvious multifactorial etiology of incisal and occlusal wear has been presented earlier in the literature (1, 4, 18). In a cross-sectional study like the present one, no effects of specific industrial environments or of specific illness could be expected, owing to the probably low occurrence in a statistical sample of persons aged 20–80 years.

The factors that were found to correlate to increased incisal and occlusal wear in this study—reduced number of teeth, age, sex, bruxism, the use of snuff, and the saliva buffer capacity—could all be supported by findings in other studies. The reasonably high factor of explanation ( $R^2 = 0.41$ ) for the regression analysis and good correlation with the variables found significant in the discriminant analysis emphasize the clinical significance of these factors for increased incisal and occlusal wear.

**Acknowledgements.**—We are grateful to Mrs. Birgit Ljungqvist for her work with the computer analyses and to Mr. Tommy Jonson for performing the statistical analyses. Financial support for this study has been given by Jönköpings County Council, Sweden, the Milton Fund, and the Henry Beyron Fund.

## References

1. Smith BGN, Knight JKK. A comparison of patterns of tooth wear with aetiological factors. *Br Dent J* 1984;157:16–9.
2. Carlsson GE, Johansson A, Lundqvist S. Occlusal wear. A follow-up study of 18 subjects with extensively worn dentitions. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1985;43:83–90.

3. Eger  
A lo  
ship  
func  
1987
4. Carl  
prob  
JD,  
tesse
5. Nord  
fore
6. Fryk  
hos  
skild  
1963
7. Ten  
Br J
8. Skog  
Gilh  
Nor  
Den
9. Sand  
[The
10. Enbe  
mine
11. Hans  
symp  
joint  
tures
12. Hurs  
and  
seve  
J 19
13. Eccle  
Den
14. Link  
relat  
1985
15. Rein  
Ang
16. Kro  
in p  
1985
17. Selig  
prev  
with  
sym
18. Will  
adv  
89.
19. Dah  
Odo  
231
20. Hug  
Prev

Receive

3. Egermark-Eriksson I, Carlsson GE, Magnusson T. A long-term epidemiological study of the relationship between occlusal factors and mandibular dysfunction in children and adolescents. *J Dent Res* 1987;66:67-71.
4. Carlsson GE, Ingervall B. Occlusal variations and problems. In: Mohl N, Zarb G, Carlsson GE, Rugh JD, eds. A textbook of occlusion. London: Quintessence, 1988:209-12.
5. Nordbø H. Salivas smørefunksjon. *Nor Tannlegeforen Tid* 1984;94:201-4.
6. Frykholm KO. Undersökning av tandförhållanden hos järnverksarbetare inom ett sinterverk med särskild hänsyn till abrasionsskador. *Odontol Tidskr* 1963;71:199-211.
7. Ten Bruggen Cate HJ. Dental erosion in industry. *Br J Ind Med* 1968;25:249-66.
8. Skogedahl O, Silness J, Tangerud T, Laegreid O, Gilhuus-Moe O. Pilot study on dental erosion in a Norwegian electrolytic zinc factory. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1977;5:248-51.
9. Sandin G. Working environment and dental health [Thesis]. Lund: University of Lund, 1983.
10. Enbom L, Magnusson T, Wall G. Occlusal wear in miners. *Swed Dent J* 1986;10:165-70.
11. Hansson T, Nilner M. A study of the occurrence of symptoms of diseases of the temporomandibular joint masticatory musculature and related structures. *J Oral Rehabil* 1975;2:313-24.
12. Hurst PS, Lacey JH, Crisp AH. Teeth vomiting and diet; a study of the dental characteristics of seventeen anorexia nervosa patients. *Postgrad Med J* 1977;53:298-305.
13. Eccles JD, Jenkins WG. Dental erosion and diet. *J Dent* 1974;2:153-9.
14. Linkosalo E, Markkanen H. Dental erosion in relation to lactovegetarian diet. *Scand J Dent Res* 1985;93:436-41.
15. Reinhardt GA. Attrition and the edge to edge bite. *Angle Orthod* 1983;53:157-64.
16. Krogstad O, Dahl BL. Dento-facial morphology in patients with advanced attrition. *Eur J Orthod* 1985;7:57-62.
17. Seligman DA, Pullinger AG, Solberg WK. The prevalence of dental attrition and its association with factors of age, gender, occlusion and TMJ symptomatology. *J Dent Res* 1988;10:1323-33.
18. Williams DR. A rationale for the management of advanced tooth wear. *J Oral Rehabil* 1987;14:77-89.
19. Dahl B. Restaurering av attrisjonsskadde bitt. *Odontologi* 85. Copenhagen: Munksgaard, 1985: 231-43.
20. Hugoson A, Bergendal T, Ekfeldt A, Helkimo M. Prevalence and severity of incisal and occlusal tooth wear in an adult Swedish population. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1988;46:255-65.
21. Pöllmann L, Berger F, Pöllman B. Age and dental abrasion. *Gerodontology* 1987;3:94-6.
22. Molnar S, McKee JK, Molnar IM, Pryzbeck TR. Tooth wear rates among contemporary Australian aborigines. *J Dent Res* 1983;62:562-5.
23. Smith BGN, Knight JKK. An index for measuring the wear of teeth. *Br Dent J* 1984;156:435-8.
24. Öilo G, Dahl BL, Hatle G, Gad A-L. An index for evaluating wear of teeth. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1987;45:361-5.
25. Ryge G, Snyder M. Evaluating the clinical quality of restorations. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1973;87:369-78.
26. Leinfelder K, Taylor DF, Barkmeier WW, Goldberg AJ. Quantitative wear measurement of posterior composite resins. *Dent Mater* 1986;2:198-201.
27. Hugoson A, Koch G, Bergendal T, et al. Oral health of individuals aged 3-80 years in Jönköping, Sweden, in 1973 and 1983. I. A review of findings on dental care habits and knowledge of oral health. *Swed Dent J* 1986;10:103-17.
28. Hugoson A, Koch G, Bergendal T, et al. Oral health of individuals aged 3-80 years in Jönköping, Sweden, in 1973 and 1983. II. A review of clinical and radiographic findings. *Swed Dent J* 1986;10: 175-94.
29. Siegel S. Non-parametric statistics for the behavioural sciences. Tokyo: McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., 1956.
30. Colton T. Statistics in medicine. Boston: Little Brown and Co., 1974:191-215.
31. Morrisson DF. Multivariate statistical methods. 2nd ed. Tokyo: McGraw-Hill, Kogakusha Ltd, 1976.
32. Håkansson J. Dental care habits, attitudes towards dental health and dental status among 20-60 year old individuals in Sweden [Thesis]. Lund: University of Lund, Dialog, 1978.
33. Nordgren P, Ramström LM. Fint som snus. Tobaksbruk i ny skepnad. *Sv Tandlakar Tid* 1988;7:363-8.
34. Heintze U, Birkhed D, Björn H. Secretion rate and buffer effect of resting and stimulated whole saliva as a function of age and sex. *Swed Dent J* 1983;7:227-38.
35. Mannerberg F. Saliva factors in cases of erosion. *Odontol Rev* 1963;14:156-66.
36. Cristen AG, Armstrong WR, McDaniel P. Intraoral leukoplakia, abrasion, periodontal breakdown and tooth loss in a snuff dipper. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1979;98:584-6.
37. Dahl BL, Stölen SO, Öilo G. Abrasives in snuff? *Acta Odontol Scand* 1989;47:239-43.

Received for publication 19 September 1989